

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/056,698	01/25/2002	Timothy P. Blair	10014611-1	2041
7590 07/06/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LE, DEBBIE M	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, Co	-	•	2167	
, constanting, con			DATE MAIL ED: 07/06/2006	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sim 10^{-10}$						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/056,698	BLAIR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DEBBIE M. LE	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days all apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 App 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on 21 Application is filed on 22 Application is filed on 21 Application is filed on 22 Appl	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 8-19, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koss (US Patent Application No. 2004/0062213 A1) in view of Snapp et al (US patent application No. 2003/0069693 A1).

As per claim 1, Koss discloses a method for facilitating use of the global positioning system (GPS) the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2167

coupling a client device (fig. 2, # 20) to a network (fig. 2, internet) and to a GPS device (the computer's GPS receiver, ¶ 0039, fig. # 60);

using the client device to access a database (fig. 3, # 312, querying a database, fig. 2, # 62) through the network, wherein the client device provides information (HTTP request), the database containing the GPS coordinates that correspond to a plurality of locations (geographical dependent content, ¶ 0032);

obtaining from the database the GPS coordinates (as obtain or create content that is appropriate for the location indicated in the HTTP request, appropriate for users that are located at a particular geographical location within a particular geographical zones, ¶ 0039, ¶ 0032); and

providing the GPS coordinates to the GPS device a (a server respond returns such customized content, ¶ 0037).

Koss does not explicitly teach that wherein the client device provides information corresponding to at least one location in a format that lack GPS coordinates for describing the at least one location; providing GPS coordinates corresponding to the at least one location to the GPS device such that information regarding at lease one of direction and distance between the current location and the at least one is obtained. However, Snapp teaches that 'the client device provides information corresponding to at least one location, other than a current location, in a format that lack GPS coordinates for describing the at least one location' (par. 0049); providing GPS coordinates corresponding to the at least one location to the GPS device such that information regarding at lease one of direction and distance between the current location and the at

Art Unit: 2167

least one is obtained (par. 0050, 0057, 0168). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the step of the client device provides information corresponding to at least one location in a format that lack GPS coordinates for describing the at least one location as disclosed, and providing information regarding at lease one of direction and distance between the current location and the at least one is obtained as disclosed by Snapp because it would provide convenience to users of Koss's system to determining directions and distances in unfamiliar location, for instant, without known landmarks (i.e., a current location) while traveling to a desired location (i.e., the at least location, other than the current location) (see Snapp, par. 0008).

As per claim 2, Koss teaches wherein providing the GPS coordinates to the GPS device is performed automatically (coordinates are embedded in the HTTP requests automatically, without any intervention by a user, ¶ 0034).

As per claim 3, Koss teaches wherein the network is the Internet (¶ 0020).

As per claim 4, Koss teaches wherein accessing a database comprises the steps of: accessing a predefined web page through the client device, the predefined web page being coupled to the database; and accessing the database through the predefined web page (GPSLocation:46.21. N, 85.30 W has been determined to be appropriated resource "/mymap.asp" from server "mobile.msn.com", ¶ 0035-0037).

As per claim 5, Koss teaches wherein accessing a predefined web page comprises the steps of: browsing to a particular location on the web through the client

Art Unit: 2167

a hyperlink from hyperlinked web content, ¶ 0039).

device; receiving in the client device a web page associated with the particular location, the web page including a link to the database; and displaying the web page associated with the particular location on a display associated with the client device (a user selects

Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under the same rationale as independent claim 1

arguments.

Claims 9 and 16 have the same limitation as claim 2; therefore, they are rejected under the same subject matter.

As per claim 10, Koss teaches wherein the GPS device is part of client device (communication through a network, ¶ 0016).

As per claim 11, Koss teaches wherein the GPS device is located remotely from the client device (over the internet, fig. 2)

As per claims 12-14, Koss teaches wherein the client device is a personal computer (PC), a personal digital assistant (PDA), a cellular telephone (¶ 0013, 0017, 0020).

Claims 17-19 have similar limitations as claims 3-5; therefore, they are rejected under the same subject matter.

As per claim 21, Snapp teaches wherein the information corresponding to the at least one location is provided as an address (as data is entered into the geospatial module 14, for instant, a user's preferences with regard to a geographic location as the user travels to a desired location) [par. 0013, 0162-0164].

Art Unit: 2167

Claims 22-23 have similar limitation as stated in depend claim 21; therefore, they are rejected by the same subject matter.

Claims 6-7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koss (USP Application No. 2004/0062213 A1) in view of Snapp et al (US patent application No. 2003/0069693 A1) and further in view of Arner et al (USP Application No. 2002/0002599 A1).

As per claim 6, Koss and Snapp do not explicitly teach wherein accessing a database comprises the steps of: accessing an e-mail application through the client device, the e-mail application being coupled to the database; and accessing the database through the e-mail application. However, Arner teaches the step of accessing a database comprises the steps of: accessing an e-mail application through the client device (¶ 0139). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references to implement the step of accessing a database comprises the steps of: accessing an e-mail application through the client device because the system would provide the only related information which the user asked for to be downloaded and/or displayed to the client device as disclosed by Arner's invention. This would allow users of Koss's system and Snapp's system to assure that the client system will actually be able to execute the downloaded application, as suggested by Arner [see ¶ 0015].

As per claim 7, Arner teaches wherein the step of accessing an e-mail application comprises the steps of: establishing communication between the client

device and an e-mail server; and accessing the e-mail application through the e-mail server (¶ 0140).

Claim 20 has similar limitation as stated in depend claim 6; therefore, it is rejected by the same subject matter.

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koss (USP Application No. 2004/0062213 A1) in view of Snapp et al (US patent application No. 2003/0069693 A1) and further in view of Hoisko (US patent 6,671,618 B2).

As per claim 24, Koss and Snapp do not explicitly teach wherein the address is provided as a street address. However, Hoiske teaches the address is provided as a street address (col. 4, lines 31-32). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references to provide the address as a street address as disclosed by Hoiske because it would allow Koss's system and Snapp's system to have an accurate information in order to determine an optimal route including direction and distance path of the movement a user should follow to toward to the desired destination, as suggested by Hoiske (col. 4, lines 35-58).

Claims 25-26 have similar limitations as claim 24; therefore, they are rejected under the same subject matter.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2167

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE M. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4111. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEBBIE M LE Examiner Art Unit 2167

Debbie Le

June 29, 2005.